



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

Please note the text of this request has been reproduced exactly as received.

FOI Reference number: FOI 67/2024

Date: 17 September 2024

Request:

I am now requesting the following material:

1. ALL "material concerning the IMR training, procedures, and processes" that were supplied to the SMP and the IMRs between 2017 - 2023. This includes any digital material shared with them or as Dr **** has described any folders of paperwork.

Answer:

Please find attached the Joint Guidance 2016 (Version 2) document provided to Selected Medical Practitioners for the specified period. With regard to the information provided to Independent Medical Referees, this is a matter for the Department of Justice as the body responsible for same.

2.The "assessment method policy" (Detailed in an FOI that was removed from the NIPB website in 2019) This document is from 2017 and is separate to the SMP guidance.

Answer:

Please see attached 'assessment method policy'. As per the Boards website, in line with Recommendation 8 of the Scoffield report, the Board had drafted a policy on the assessment of degree of disablement in order to make the process simpler for medical practitioners. The policy had been introduced for all assessments conducted with effect from August 2015. In accordance with the Scoffield recommendations, the Board and Department of Justice agreed in 2015 that there would be a single guidance document for SMPs/IMRS which is the Joint Guidance for Medical Practitioners. A copy attached for ease of reference. As such the Joint Guidance for Medical Practitioners contains the degree of disablement assessment method at section 4. Therefore, the Joint Guidance for Medical practitioners is the only guidance document used by the SMP/IMR.

3. The process maps relating to the SMP and the IMR/appeals process produced by the NIPB and agreed by them in 2020.

Answer:

Please find attached.

4. A full and unredacted copy of the paper called "The Implications of the McKee & others v The Charity Commission for Northern Ireland document"

Answer:

The Board is unable to supply the information that you have requested. A redacted copy has been provided. Where redactions have been made this is because the following exemptions apply.

Section 36 Prejudice to effective conduct of public affairs.

The specific sub sections being relied on are Section 36 (2) (b) (i) and (ii), and Section 36 (2) (c) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Section 36 (2) (b) states:

Information to which this section applies is exempt information if in the reasonable opinion of a qualified person disclosure of the information under this Act – Would, or would be likely to inhibit –

- (i) The free and frank provision of advice, or
- ii (ii) The free and frank exchange of views for the purposes of deliberation.

Section 36 (2) (c) states:

Information to which this section applies is exempt information if in the reasonable opinion of a qualified person disclosure of the information under this Act — 'would otherwise prejudice, or would be likely otherwise to prejudice, the effective conduct of public affairs.'

The information, within the scope of your request, has been shown to the 'Qualified Person' who for the Board is the Chief Executive. The 'Qualified Person's' opinion is that the exemptions at Section 36 (2) (b) (i) and (ii) and Section 36 (2) (c) are engaged.

As this exemption is a qualified exemption the Board has gone on to carry out a public interest test to decide whether the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure. Having conducted the public interest test it is felt that the public interest in engaging the exemption to withhold the information does outweigh the arguments towards disclosure at this point in time. A copy of the public interest test is attached at Annex A.

Section 40 (2) Third Party Personal Information

This personal information is exempt from disclosure to you under section 40(2) by virtue of section 40(3)(a)(i) of the FOIA.

Section 40(2) allows a public authority to withhold information from a response to a request for information under the FOIA when the information requested is personal information relating to someone other than the requester and its disclosure would contravene one of the data protection principles.

The first data protection principle requires personal data to be processed fairly and lawfully. This personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the FOIA as, in our view, it would be unfair to provide it to you, and therefore disclosure would be in contravention of the first principle of the UK General Data Protection Regulation. This is an absolute exemption and there is no requirement to conduct a public interest test.

If you have queries about this request or the decision please contact the Board quoting the reference number above. If you are unhappy with the service you have received and wish to make a complaint or request a review you should contact the Board's Chief Executive -

Via Email: foi@nipolicingboard.org.uk

Or in writing at the following address:

Northern Ireland Policing Board James House Block D 2 – 4 Cromac Avenue The Gasworks Belfast BT7 2JA

You should contact the Board within 40 working days of this response.

If you are not content with the outcome of your complaint, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner. Generally, the Information Commissioner's Office cannot investigate or make a decision on a case unless you have exhausted the complaints procedure provided by the Board. You should make complaints to the ICO within six weeks of receiving the outcome of an internal review.

The Information Commissioner can be contacted at the following web link -

www.ico.org.uk/foicomplaints

or in writing at:

Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow SK9 5AF

Telephone: - 0303 1231114 Email: - ni@ico.org.uk

Please be advised that Policing Board replies under Freedom of Information may be released into the public domain via our website @ www.nipolicingboard.org.uk.

Personal details in respect of your request have, where applicable, been removed to protect confidentiality.